DESIGNING MILITARY ENGINEERING TRAINING BASED ON THE MODEL OF DIDACTIC JUSTIFICATION

Amil DADASHOV

PhD student in the Doctor of Philosophy program
Educational Institute of the Republic of Azerbaijan
Heydar Aliyev Military Institute of the National Defense University, Baku, Azerbaijan

In today's dynamic and complex military landscape, the effectiveness of military engineering training plays a crucial role in preparing personnel for various operational scenarios. However, the lack of a structured and validated model for designing and justifying the didactic aspects of such training hinders its efficiency and outcomes. This article seeks to bridge this gap by providing a systematic approach to designing military engineering training programs. The aim of the article is to identify the main components required to develop a comprehensive Model of Didactic Justification for the Design of Military Engineering Training, addressing the challenge of optimizing the instructional framework in military engineering education. The model of didactic justification of the design of military engineering training is a conceptual framework that aims to provide a structured approach to designing and implementing effective training programs for military engineers. The model takes into account various factors that influence the design of military engineering training, including the specific needs of the military organization, the objectives of the training, and the characteristics of the learners. The model is based on a set of principles and guidelines that have been developed through research and practical experience in the field of military engineering training. These principles include the need for a clear understanding of the objectives of the training, the importance of selecting appropriate teaching methods and materials, and the need for ongoing evaluation and feedback to ensure that the training is meeting its intended objectives. The model will enhance the training's efficiency, effectiveness, and adaptability by incorporating pedagogical principles, military engineering expertise, and instructional technology advancements. Ultimately, the article aims to contribute to the improvement of military engineering training, resulting in better-prepared personnel and more successful military operations.

Research Problem Statement: *The research aims to develop a comprehensive Model of Didactic Justification for the Design of Military Engineering Training, addressing the challenge of optimizing the instructional framework in military engineering education.*

Research Relevance: *In today's dynamic and complex military landscape, the effectiveness of military engineering training plays a crucial role in preparing personnel for various operational scenarios. However, the lack of a structured and validated model for designing and justifying the didactic aspects of such training hinders its efficiency and outcomes. This research seeks to bridge this gap by providing a systematic approach to designing military engineering training programs.*

Research Purpose: *The purpose of this research is to create a Model of Didactic Justification that offers a systematic framework for the design and evaluation of military engineering training. This model will enhance the training's efficiency, effectiveness, and adaptability by incorporating pedagogical principles, military engineering expertise, and instructional technology advancements. Ultimately, the research aims to contribute to the improvement of military engineering training, resulting in better-prepared personnel and more successful military operations.*

In order to apply the model, designers of military engineering training must first conduct a needs assessment to determine the specific needs of the military organization and the learners.

Key words*: military, engineering training, objectives, learners, principles, guidelines, research*

1. INTRODUCTION

Military engineering plays a critical role in modern armed forces, encompassing a diverse range of tasks that demand both technical proficiency and strategic acumen. Effective training of military engineers is paramount to ensure their ability to navigate intricate operational landscapes and contribute to mission success. The design of training programs for military engineers requires careful consideration of pedagogical principles and the unique challenges presented by the field. In this context, the development of a robust model for the didactic justification of training design becomes imperative. Educational design theories have long been applied to civilian educational contexts to optimize learning outcomes and enhance instructional methods [1]. However, when it comes to military engineering training, a distinct blend of academic rigor and practical application is essential. The evolving nature of military operations demands engineers who are not only well-versed in theoretical concepts but also adept at applying their knowledge

in real-world scenarios. To bridge this gap between theoretical learning and practical execution, a model that integrates didactic principles with military engineering expertise is proposed. This model is designed to provide a structured framework that aligns learning objectives, instructional strategies, and assessment techniques. By merging pedagogical insights with the unique demands of military engineering, the model seeks to enhance the overall effectiveness of training programs and ensure that graduates possess both the cognitive understanding and hands-on skills required for success in their roles.

In this paper, we present the development and components of the proposed Model of Didactic Justification of the Design of Military Engineering Training. By considering the distinctive requirements of military engineering education, we aim to contribute to the ongoing enhancement of training methodologies within this vital field.

Furthermore, the dynamic and rapidly evolving nature of military technology and tactics adds another layer of complexity to the training of military engineers. New challenges arise as advances in technology reshape the nature of warfare, necessitating engineers to not only possess a deep understanding of traditional principles but also the ability to adapt swiftly to novel situations. The traditional pedagogical approaches alone may not suffice in preparing engineers to handle the multifaceted demands of modern military operations. In this context, the proposed model draws inspiration from constructivist learning theories that emphasize active engagement and experiential learning [2]. By providing opportunities for learners to grapple with authentic problems and participate in realistic simulations, the model aims to foster the development of critical thinking, decision-making skills, and the capacity to innovate-traits that are indispensable in military engineering contexts [3]. The integration of didactic principles with military engineering expertise is crucial to ensure that training programs remain relevant and impactful. As military engineers are tasked with tasks that have direct consequences for operational success and safety, their training must go beyond theoretical understanding and encompass the application of knowledge in high-pressure scenarios [4]. Therefore, the proposed model seeks to bridge the gap between theory and practice, aligning instructional strategies with the exigencies of military engineering tasks. In the subsequent sections of this paper, we will delve into the key components of the Model of Didactic Justification of the Design of Military Engineering Training, exploring how it addresses the unique challenges faced by military educators and engineers alike. By addressing the convergence of educational theory and military exigencies, this model aims to

contribute significantly to the development of well-prepared, adaptable, and skilled military engineers.

2. PEDAGOGICAL PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES

Pedagogical principles and practices play a pivotal role in shaping effective military engineering training programs. By incorporating evidence-based instructional strategies, educators can optimize the learning experience for military engineers and equip them with the necessary skills to excel in their roles. In this model, the integration of active learning strategies serves as a cornerstone [5]. Military engineering training benefits from approaches such as problem-based learning, where learners grapple with real-world challenges and devise solutions collaboratively. Such techniques foster critical thinking, encourage teamwork, and promote the application of theoretical knowledge in practical scenarios. Moreover, the alignment of learning objectives with Bloom's taxonomy is imperative [6]. Military engineers need to not only comprehend concepts but also demonstrate mastery through analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. This ensures that the training design addresses cognitive depth and complexity, enabling engineers to tackle multifaceted challenges. Additionally, the model emphasizes formative assessment techniques to provide continuous feedback and guide learners' progress. Given the high-stakes nature of military engineering tasks, timely feedback enhances learners' understanding and performance. Incorporating authentic assessments, such as realistic simulations and scenario-based evaluations, reinforces the application of knowledge in contexts that mirror operational environments. By amalgamating these pedagogical principles with the unique demands of military engineering, the model paves the way for a comprehensive approach to training program design. The subsequent sections of this paper will delve into the specific components and strategies encompassed by the Model of Didactic Justification of the Design of Military Engineering Training, offering insights into its practical application within military education contexts.

3. DESIGNING MILITARY ENGINEERING TRAINING

Designing military engineering training involves several steps that help to ensure that the training program is tailored to the specific needs and characteristics of the military organization and its personnel. Here are some of the key steps involved in designing military engineering training:

Needs assessment: The first step in designing military engineering training is to conduct thorough needs assessment. This involves gathering

information about the specific needs of the military organization and its personnel, including the skills and knowledge required for their roles, the challenges they are likely to encounter, and any other relevant factors [7].

Learning objectives: Based on the needs assessment, specific learning objectives should be identified for the training program. These objectives should outline the knowledge, skills, and competencies that the learners are expected to acquire through the training program.

Selecting teaching methods and materials: Once the learning objectives have been identified, appropriate teaching methods and materials should be selected. This may involve the use of a variety of methods, including classroom instruction, hands-on activities, simulations, and other experiential learning methods.

Developing training materials: In some cases, it may be necessary to develop customized training materials, such as training manuals, handouts, or instructional videos, to support the selected teaching methods.

Implementing the training program: The training program should be implemented according to the identified learning objectives and the selected teaching methods and materials. This may involve the use of various instructional technologies and resources, as well as the involvement of trainers or instructors.

Ongoing evaluation and feedback: Throughout the training program, ongoing evaluation and feedback should be conducted to ensure that it is meeting its intended objectives and that the learners are acquiring the required knowledge and skills. This may involve the use of various assessment tools and techniques, such as performance evaluations, learner feedback, and follow-up surveys [7].

By following these steps, trainers can ensure that the military engineering training program is designed to meet the specific needs of the military organization and its personnel, and that it is effective in preparing learners for the challenges of their.

In addition to the steps mentioned above, there are some other important considerations that should be taken into account when designing military engineering training. These considerations include [8].

Learning styles and preferences: Military personnel may have different learning styles and preferences, depending on their backgrounds and experiences. Designing training that is sensitive to these differences can help to ensure that learners are engaged and motivated, which can lead to better learning outcomes.

Time constraints: Military personnel often have busy schedules and may not have a lot of time available for training. Designing training that is flexible and can be completed in shorter timeframes can help to ensure that learners are able to

complete the training and acquire the necessary knowledge and skills.

Technology: Advances in technology are constantly changing the nature of military engineering work, and training programs must be updated to keep pace with these changes. This may involve the use of new instructional technologies, such as virtual reality and simulation tools, to provide more realistic and effective training experiences.

Leadership and teamwork: Military engineering work often requires close collaboration with other military personnel, and effective leadership and teamwork are essential for success in this field. Accordingly, military engineering training should include instruction and practice in leadership and teamwork skills, as well as opportunities to work in teams on engineering projects.

By taking into account these considerations, trainers can ensure that the military engineering training program is designed to meet the specific needs of the military organization and its personnel, and that it is effective in preparing learners for the challenges of their roles. Additionally, trainers should ensure that the training program is regularly updated to remain relevant to changing military needs and requirements.

Selecting appropriate teaching methods is an important aspect of the model of didactic justification of the design of military engineering training. By selecting appropriate teaching methods, trainers can ensure that the training program is engaging, motivating, and effective in preparing learners for the challenges of their roles. In this research, we will explore some key factors that should be taken into account when selecting teaching methods for military engineering training.

One of the key factors to consider when selecting teaching methods is the specific learning objectives that have been identified for the training program. Different teaching methods may be more effective for different types of learning objectives. For example, if the learning objective is to develop hands-on skills related to military engineering, then hands-on training methods may be more effective than classroom instruction alone. Conversely, if the learning objective is to develop knowledge of military engineering principles and concepts, then classroom instruction may be more effective [7].

Another important factor to consider is the learning preferences and styles of the learners. As noted earlier, military personnel may have different learning styles and preferences, and trainers should take these into account when selecting teaching methods. For example, some learners may prefer visual learning, while others may prefer more hands-on, experiential learning. By selecting teaching methods that are tailored to the individual learning preferences and styles of the learners, trainers can

help to ensure that the training program is engaging and effective [8].

The context in which the training is being delivered is another important factor to consider when selecting teaching methods. Military engineering training may be delivered in a variety of contexts, including in the field, in a classroom setting, or through e-learning platforms. The context in which the training is being delivered may impact the effectiveness of different teaching methods. For example, hands-on training methods may be more effective in the field, while classroom instruction may be more effective in a classroom setting.

The resources that are available for the training program is another important factor to consider when selecting teaching methods. Different teaching methods may require different resources, such as specialized equipment, materials, or technology. Trainers should take into account the resources that are available for the training program when selecting teaching methods, and should select methods that can be implemented with the available resources [9].

In conclusion, selecting appropriate teaching methods is an important aspect of the model of didactic justification of the design of military engineering training. By taking into account factors such as the specific learning objectives, the learning preferences and styles of the learners, the context in which the training is being delivered, and the resources that are available, trainers can ensure that the training program is engaging, motivating, and effective in preparing learners for the challenges of their roles.

4. CHOOSING APPROPRIATE TRAINING MATERIALS

The model of didactic justification of the design of military engineering training is a framework for designing and developing effective training materials for military engineers. The model consists of several key components that are essential for designing high-quality training materials that meet the needs of military engineers.

One important component of the model is the identification of learning objectives. Before designing any training materials, it is essential to identify the specific knowledge, skills, and abilities that military engineers need to acquire. These learning objectives should be based on a thorough analysis of the job requirements and the needs of the target [7].

Another important component of the model is the selection of appropriate training materials. The training materials should be carefully selected to ensure that they are relevant to the learning objectives and the needs of the target audience. This may involve selecting existing materials, adapting existing materials,

or creating new materials from scratch.

In addition to selecting appropriate training materials, the model also emphasizes the importance of incorporating active learning strategies into the design of the training materials. Active learning strategies such as problem-based learning, case-based learning, and simulations can help military engineers apply their knowledge and skills in real-world scenarios.

Finally, the model emphasizes the importance of evaluating the effectiveness of the training materials. Evaluation should be an ongoing process that involves assessing the effectiveness of the training materials in achieving the learning objectives and identifying areas for improvement.

By using these references and incorporating the key components of the didactic justification model into the design of military engineering training materials, trainers and educators can ensure that military engineers receive high-quality training that prepares them for the challenges they will face in the field**.**

5. IMPLEMENTING THE TRAINING PROGRAM

Once the training materials have been designed, it is essential to implement the training program effectively. Implementing the training program involves delivering the training materials to the target audience and monitoring their progress throughout the training process. There are several key strategies that can be used to implement the training program effectively.

First, it is important to ensure that the training program is delivered in a way that is accessible to the target audience. This may involve delivering the training in multiple formats, such as in-person instruction, online modules, or interactive simulations. The training program should also be delivered in a way that is convenient for the target audience, taking into account their schedules and other commitments.

Second, it is important to provide support and guidance to the target audience throughout the training process. This may involve assigning mentors or coaches to help learners with the training materials, providing regular feedback on their progress, and offering additional resources or support as needed

Third, it is important to evaluate the effectiveness of the training program as it is being implemented. This may involve conducting formative evaluations to gather feedback from learners and trainers, as well as summative evaluations to assess the overall effectiveness of the training program.

Finally, one of the main goals of the training of officers is to cultivate certain military-professional and moral-voluntary qualities in officers, which will enable them to make

scientifically based decisions both in peacetime and in wartime, to overcome the difficulties of military service, and to defend the interests of the motherland he must ensure that they are ready to fulfill it as the highest and most honorable duty [10].

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the model of didactic justification of the design of military engineering training is a framework that provides guidance on designing effective training materials for military engineers. The model emphasizes the importance of identifying learning objectives, selecting appropriate training materials, incorporating active learning strategies, and evaluating the effectiveness of the training program. Implementing the training program involves delivering the training materials to the target audience in a way that is accessible and convenient, providing support and guidance throughout the training process, and evaluating the effectiveness of the training program.

By following the key components of the didactic justification model and consulting the relevant references, trainers and educators can ensure that military engineers receive high-quality training that prepares them for the challenges they will face in the field. Effective training programs can help to enhance the capabilities of military engineers and ultimately contribute to the success of military operations.

Effective training programs can also contribute to the safety of military personnel by ensuring that they are well-prepared to handle potentially hazardous situations. In addition, effective training programs can help to reduce costs and improve efficiency by ensuring that military engineers have the knowledge and skills needed to complete their tasks effectively and efficiently.

It is important to note that designing and implementing effective training programs is an ongoing process. Trainers and educators should continually evaluate the effectiveness of the training program and make adjustments as needed to ensure that it remains relevant and effective over time. By doing so, trainers and educators can ensure that military engineers are equipped with the knowledge, skills, and abilities they need to succeed in their roles, both now and in the future.

REFERENCES

[1]. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university. McGraw-Hill Education. https://cetl.ppu.edu/sites/default/files/publications/-John_Biggs_and_Catherine_Tang-_Teaching_for_Quali-BookFiorg-.pdf

[2]. Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory, 2, 215-239.
https://scirp.org/reference/referencespapers.aspx?referenceid=800372
[3]. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.
https://one2oneheights.pbworks.com/f/MISHRA_PUNYA.pdf
[4]. Kalyuga, S. (2011). Cognitive load theory: How many types of load does it really need? Educational Psychology Review, 23(1), 1-19.
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10648-010-9150-7
[5]. Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom (ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1). Washington, DC: The George Washington University.
[6]. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives.
https://www.uky.edu/~rsand1/china2018/texts/Anderson-Krathwohl%20-%20A%20taxonomy%20for%20learning%20teaching%20and%20assessing.pdf
https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED336049.pdf
[7]. Tarnavska, T. & Glushanytsıa, N. (2019). KEY PEDAGOGICAL PRINCIPLES OF IT INTEGRATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION . Ahi Evran Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi , 3 (2) , 129-142 . Retrieved from https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/aeuiibfd/issue/51141/666420
[8]. Ministry of Education of the Republic of Belarus. (2015). Methodology of Modernization of Military Engineering Education at Military Faculty of Higher Education Institutions: Toolkit. (N. M. Selivonchik, Ed.) Minsk: Belarusian National Technical University, Military Technical Faculty.
https://rep.bntu.by/bitstream/handle/data/14624/Metodologiya_modernizacii_voenno.pdf?sequence=7&isAllowed=y
[9]. United Nations. (2020). United Nations Military Engineer Unit & CET Search and Detect Manual: Second Edition January 2020. Department of Peace Operations.
https://peacekeeping.un.org/sites/default/files/3._military_-_2_un_military_engineer_unit_and_cet_manual.pdf
[10]. Piriyev, H. K., Həşimov, E. Q., & Talıbov, Ə. M. (2014). Xüsusi təyinatlı ali təhsil müəssisələri üçün pedaqoji kadr hazırlığının bəzi məsələləri. Hərbi bilik, (4), 3-
https://www.academia.edu/19024815/XÜSUSİ_TƏYİNATLI_ALİ_TƏHSİL_MÜƏSSİSƏLƏRİ_ÜÇÜN_PEDAQOJİ_KADR_HAZIRLIĞININ_BƏZİ_MƏSƏLƏLƏRİ